

| Replies to Questionnaire on Format and Timing of BFUG and Ministerial Meetings: Question 1 - <u>Frequency of BFUG meetings</u> | | |
|---|---------------|---|
| Country/Organisation | Answer | Comments |
| Andorra | OK | |
| Armenia | OK | |
| Austria | OK | |
| Belgium-FI. Community | OK | The frequency of Board meetings could be reduced. |
| Belgium-Fr. Community | OK | In the current situation of the Bologna structure, having one meeting per half year and an additional meeting before the ministerial conference is optimal. However, after 2009, the current structure could be revised and then the frequency of BFUG meeting too. |
| Bosnia-Herzegovina | OK | The present arrangement is fine as a framework, but frequency of meetings may vary according to the temporary needs of the Process. |
| Council of Europe | OK | |
| Croatia | OK | |
| Cyprus | OK | |
| Czech Republic | OK | |
| Education International | OK | |
| Estonia | OK | |
| France | OK | Generally speaking, 1 BFUG meeting per semester (and 2 or 3 in the last 6 months before the next Leuven/Louvain ministerial Conference) is OK to give orientations and discuss about the first works which have been carried out so far, while giving time for works to go forward enough and deep enough within BFUG sub-working groups. If necessary though, 1 extra BFUG meeting might be organised to go further into a specific issue ; but it would mean to split into parts first and then come back into a plenary session to have an overall debate with all the delegations together. |
| Germany | OK | |
| Greece | OK | |
| Holy See | OK | |
| Hungary | OK | |
| Iceland | OK | |
| Italy | OK | It depends on the tasks conferred to the BFUG. Probably, for the first year 2 meetings are enough, but in the second year some more meetings would be necessary. |
| Latvia | OK | |
| Liechtenstein | OK | |
| Lithuania | OK | The present arrangement of meetings is good and there is no necessary to change it. |

| Country/Organisation | Answer | Comments |
|---|--------|--|
| Malta | OK | |
| Moldova | OK | |
| Montenegro | OK | |
| Netherlands | OK | Rather less than more, but we have to meet regularly |
| Norway | OK | In certain cases additional BFUG meetings might be required, cf. e.g. the work on 2010 and beyond where the BFUG as a whole are involved to a much greater extent than in the cases where working groups have been established |
| Poland | OK | |
| Portugal | OK | |
| Russia | OK | One meeting per each second part of a year (in falls). Two meetings per each first part of a year (early winter and spring) |
| Slovakia | OK | |
| Slovenia | OK | |
| Spain | More | It would be better to increase the frequency of meetings, three by semester, to improve the update of our works. 2010 is so close... |
| Sweden | OK | The frequency must depend on what is coming up in the process and the answer refers to earlier experience |
| Switzerland | OK | |
| "the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia" | OK | |
| Turkey | More | |
| UK-Scotland | OK | |